

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/869,676	Applicant(s) ZAHORANSKY ET AL.	
	Examiner Tim Heitbrink	Art Unit 1722	

All Participants:

(1) Tim Heitbrink.

(2) Albert Ferro.

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 24 March 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

8,10,12,15,16,24,25,27,40,41

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Ferro agreed to the changes found in the Examiner's Amendment. Such changes were made to conform the claims to 35 USC 112 and further clarify applicants' invention.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. ~~The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.~~ *See above.*
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)